



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/580,411

05/30/2000

Henry H. Jenkins

7948

8691

7590

10/23/2002

Charles R Rust  
Woodling Krost & Rust  
9213 Chillicothe Road  
Kirtland, OH 44094

EXAMINER

DEXTER, CLARK F

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3724

DATE MAILED: 10/23/2002

15

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/580,411

Applicant(s)  
Jenkins

Examiner  
Clark F. Dexter

Art Unit  
3724



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mr. Charles Rust

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mr. Clark Dexter

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Oct 22, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mr. Rust called to inquire as to whether the Examiner would consider an amendment to claim 1 to further define claim 1 over the prior art and thus place the application in condition for allowance. Mr. Dexter stated that at this time an amendment to claim 1 could not be considered since it would, in essence, raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. Mr. Dexter did again offer to allow the application if applicant canceled claim 1. Mr. Dexter also stated that an amendment to claim 1 would be considered if applicant decided to continue prosecution (i.e., by Request for Continued Examination or a Continued Prosecution Application).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

CLARK F. DEXTER  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 3724

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.